

Project Evaluation Template

Instructions for selected State- and/or National-level Technical Contacts: Please complete this template for your assigned Project Evaluation report for the National Component CIG Project(s) which have been completed and for which the grant agreement expired between June 1, 2011 and June 30, 2012. Please work together with other State- and National-level Technical Contacts to develop these assigned CIG Project Evaluation. **Please load your assigned Project Evaluation(s) onto the NRCS Science and Technology Deputy Area Project Evaluation SharePoint Site located at <https://nrcs.sc.egov.usda.gov/st/projecteval/default.aspx> by no later than Monday, April 30, 2012 for projects ending between June 1 and December 31, 2011 and no later than Wednesday, October 31, 2012 for projects ending between January 1 and June 30, 2012.**

Note: A Project Evaluation will be written after a project is completed and the grantee submits the final report. The Project Evaluation will be written in collaboration with the State Technical Contact(s). Each project evaluation will be a 2- to 3-page report that explains how the project's innovative technology or approach can be used in NRCS. The project evaluation should include recommendations for integration into National, State, and field office enterprises.

Please contact Lillian Woods, National Technology Support Coordinator, Science and Technology Deputy Area by telephone at (202) 690-2010 or by e-mail at lillian.woods@wdc.usda.gov should you have any questions or encounter any accessibility issues.

| | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| 1. Title of the CIG Project | | | | |
| 2. Grantee | | 3. CIG Grant Agreement Number | | |
| 4. Key Terms (List in boxes to the right.) | | | | |
| 5. Brief Description (Insert text explaining the purpose of the CIG Project and recite the deliverables from the agreement.) | | | | |
| 6. What land uses can benefit from the technology or method? (Mark an "X" in all boxes that apply.) | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Cropland | <input type="checkbox"/> Pastureland | <input type="checkbox"/> Rangeland | <input type="checkbox"/> Specialty Crops | <input type="checkbox"/> Wetlands |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Farmstead | <input type="checkbox"/> Organic Lands | <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ | | |
| 7. What resource concern(s) is specifically being addressed? (Mark an "X" in all boxes that apply.) | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Soil | <input type="checkbox"/> Water | <input type="checkbox"/> Air | <input type="checkbox"/> Plant | <input type="checkbox"/> Animal |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Human | <input type="checkbox"/> Energy | <input type="checkbox"/> Nutrient | <input type="checkbox"/> Tillage | <input type="checkbox"/> Pest Management |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Invasive Species | <input type="checkbox"/> Irrigation | <input type="checkbox"/> Animal Waste | | |
| 8. What was actually done to produce the deliverables? (Insert text that describes activities, work processes, and production methods.) | | | | |
| 9. What is the resulting potential value to NRCS? (Insert specific text.) | | | | |

Attachment B – Project Evaluation Template (Continued)

| | | | | |
|---|--|--|-------------------------------------|---|
| 10. What Clients is the Grantee serving? (Place an “X” in the appropriate box.) | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Livestock producers | <input type="checkbox"/> Dairy producers | <input type="checkbox"/> Row crop farmers | <input type="checkbox"/> Irrigators | <input type="checkbox"/> Small and limited resource farmers |
| 11. What are the lessons learned? (Insert text specifically describing any lessons learned.) | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 12. Have we learned anything? (Place an “X” in the appropriate box.) | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Is it new stuff or new information? <input type="checkbox"/> Is it old stuff revisited? <input type="checkbox"/> Is it old stuff repackaged or with a new application/focus? | | | | |
| 13. Impact analysis: What is the resulting potential value to NRCS? | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 14. Is this appropriate for another State or Region of the United States? | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 15. What can NRCS incorporate from the CIG Project Results? | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 16. NRCS Technology Incorporation (Place an “X” in the appropriate box.) | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Do standards need to be modified? <input type="checkbox"/> Do existing directives (manuals, standards, etc.) need to be updated? <input type="checkbox"/> Do we need design or planning procedures developed? <input type="checkbox"/> Do we need to provide training? | | <input type="checkbox"/> Do we just need to get the information out? <input type="checkbox"/> Are program modifications needed? <input type="checkbox"/> Have we identified new data or research needs or future CIG categories? | | |
| 17. Who should be responsible for the next steps? (Place an “X” in the appropriate box(s).) | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> National Headquarter <input type="checkbox"/> National Centers <input type="checkbox"/> Regional <input type="checkbox"/> States <input type="checkbox"/> Other | | | | |
| 18. Technical Contacts recommendation(s) on use of findings from CIG final report. Responses could include: | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> The grant is completed, and no further action is recommended. <input type="checkbox"/> The grant is completed. Publish results; but, do not repeat efforts. <input type="checkbox"/> The grant is completed. The results indicate a need to change policy or program guidelines and/or a need to modify standards. | | | | |

- The grant is completed. Utilize the information to package a job sheet with additional information to promote technology with staff and partners.
- The grant is completed. Package the results to train staff and partners.
- The grant is completed. The results are promising; but, identified barriers (i.e., programs, people, and technology) prevent adoption at this time.
- The grant is completed. The results are promising; however, the cost for implementation is determined to be too high at this time for widespread adoption.
- The grant is completed. The results are promising, and the concept makes sense; however, the benefits are not clear or clearly documented. Additional work is necessary.
- Other.

19. Additional Comments:

20. Technical Contact that produced this Project Evaluation (Complete the blocks below.)

| Name/Job Title | E-Mail Address | Telephone Number (xxx-xxx-xxxx) |
|----------------|----------------|------------------------------------|
| | | |

21. Who to contact for more information (the individuals involved in implementing the CIG project)
(Complete the blocks below.)

| Name/Job Title | E-Mail Address | Telephone Number (xxx-xxx-xxxx) |
|----------------|----------------|------------------------------------|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |