

Part 606 – Exhibits

Subpart D – Watershed Project Plan Modifications

606.50 Exchange of Correspondence—Example

Supplemental Watershed Agreement No.
for _____ Watershed, (State)
by
Exchange of Correspondence

Since the original watershed agreement was signed on _____, it has become necessary to modify that agreement to carry out the installation of the plan. As a result of the environmental evaluation, some mitigation is found to be needed.

Structure No. 6 will inundate 25 acres of type 7 wetland and convert it to a type 5 wetland, resulting in a net loss of 200 habitat units. Through the construction of a small dike across a 15-acre draw, 1.2 miles upstream from structure No. 6, a type 3 wetland can be created.

This dike will result in an increase of 190 habitat units, thus limiting the adverse effects to a loss of 10 units, which is not considered significant. There was no way that habitat-in-kind could be developed, and an agreement was reached with fish and wildlife agencies that this tradeoff would be acceptable. Construction costs are estimated to be \$9,200 and all are paid NRCS since Site 6 is a single-purpose flood control structure. The sponsors will obtain the land rights needed in connection with the mitigation measure.

Paragraph 3 of the watershed agreement is changed to read:

Works of Improvements	Sponsors (or name of sponsor) (%)	NRCS (%)	Estimated construction costs (\$)
Multiple-purpose structure 3	50	50	723,000
Floodwater retarding structures 1, 2, 6, 10, 12, & 15	0	100	3,256,700
Mitigation measure	0	100	9,200

All other terms, conditions and stipulations of the watershed agreement not modified herein remain the same and are agreed to:

_____ Green County SWCD _____
Chairman Sponsor _____ Date

_____ Green County Commissioners _____
Chairman Sponsor _____ Date

_____ Whitlow Drainage District _____
Executive Director Sponsor _____ Date

_____ Natural Resources Conservation Service _____
State Conservationist USDA _____ Date