

Part 606 – Exhibits

Subpart B – Development of Watershed Project Plans

606.19 Summary of Scoping—Example

1. ITEM/ CONCERN	Relevant to the proposed action?		1. RATIONALE
	2. YES	2. NO	
SOILS	3.	3.	
Upland Erosion	4. X	4.	22,570 acres in watershed eroding in excess of “T”
Stream bank Erosion	5. X	5.	Scour and mass wasting of stream banks contributing to flooding and water quality impairment
Sedimentation	6. X	6.	Aggradations of eroded sediment contributing to flood severity and frequency
Prime and Unique Farmland	7. X	7.	Increased flooding and stream bank erosion threat to 800 acres prime farmland
WATER	8.	8.	
Surface Water Quality	9. X	9.	3 miles of stream impaired for temperature due to increasing turbidity
Surface Water Quantity	10. X	10.	Limited surface water for recreation
Ground Water Quantity	11. X	11.	Sparta Aquifer draft exceeding recharge
Clean Water Act	12. X	12.	Alternatives may require USACE 404 permit
Regional Water Mgt. Plans and Coastal Zone Management Areas	13.	13. X	None present in area of project (NRCS FOTG Section II, 4/4/09)
Floodplain Management	14. X	14.	23 structures within 100 yr floodplain
Wetlands	15. X	15.	Potential for loss of 19 acres Palustrine wetland with some alternatives
Wild and Scenic Rivers	16.	16. X	None Present in area of project (NRCS FOTG Section II, 4/4/09)

390 – National Watershed Program Handbook

AIR	17.	17.	
Air Quality	18. X	18.	Possible temporary increase in PM-10 or other potential emissions with some alternatives
Clean Air Act	19. X	19.	Permits may be required if it involves emission of a regulated pollutant
PLANTS	20.	20.	
Endangered and Threatened Species	21.	21. X	None Present in area of project (NRCS FOTG Section II, 4/4/09)
Essential Fish Habitat	22.	22. X	No designated areas in area of project (NRCS FOTG Section II, 4/4/09)
Invasive Species	23. X	23.	Potential for introduction/ expansion of Reed Canary grass
Natural Areas	24.	24. X	No designated areas in area of project (NRCS FOTG Section II, 4/4/09)
Riparian Areas	25. X	25.	36 acres of riparian areas potentially affected
ANIMALS	26.	26.	
Fish and Wildlife Habitat	27. X	27.	Potential for Fish and Wildlife habitat improvement
Coral Reefs	28.	28. X	None Present (NRCS FOTG Section II, 4/4/09)
Endangered and Threatened Species	29. X	29.	Potential to “may effect” 42 acres of Wilsons Warbler
Invasive Species	30.	30. X	No invasive species in area of project, or potential for introduction (NRCS FOTG Section II, 4/4/09)
Migratory Birds/Bald and golden Eagles	31.	31. X	Purpose of action is not to take migratory birds or eagles. Actions to be implemented outside of nesting season
HUMANS	32.	32.	
Flood Damages	33. X	33.	Annual flood damages = \$644,000
Cost, Sponsor	34. X	34.	Proposals must be within the economic capacity of the sponsors (County)
Cost, NED	35. X	35.	Required criteria by P&G
Historic Properties	36. X	36.	2 documented NRHP sites in area of project

390 – National Watershed Program Handbook

Environmental Justice	37. X	37. X	Project intended to benefit subject populations
Local and Regional Economy	38. X	38.	Frequent flooding impeding economic growth
Potable Water Supply	39. X	39.	Lack of dependable potable water supply to meet current demands limiting future industrial and economic development
Public Health and Safety	40. X	40.	Potential for loss of life associated with flooding
Recreation	41. X	41.	Limited opportunity for public recreation and tourism. Estimated deficit of 750,000 visitor days
Scenic Beauty and Parklands	42. X	42.	Potential to affect scenic vista important to local tourist industry. No parklands located in area of project (NRCS FOTG Section II, 4/4/09)

Note: The number of concerns listed is not limited. All concerns identified in the scoping process, the environmental evaluation, and those required by statute or policy are included. The items should be grouped in a way that provides a logical framework for problem definition and the analysis and comparison of alternatives later in the report. The rationale should explain the basis for relevance.